Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/733,795	ROLES ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	JASON MITCHELL	2193
I Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) JASON MITCHELL.	(3)	
(2) <u>Mark Williams</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>17 June 2009</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: proposed claim amendment (attached).		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 USC 103 rejection of claim 1 in view of Oulu Fontana and Ma	arlin.	
Claims discussed: 1, 12, 14, 25, 28, 36-37		
Prior art documents discussed: Oulu Fontana and Marlin.		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The examiner indicated the addition of e.g. the marlin reference would result in a final action and suggested amending the claims to reflect the previously indicated allowable subject matter. Applicant agreed and provided the proposed amendment		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	e examiner will provide a writte record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Jason Mitchell/		
Examiner, Art Unit 2193 (A	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)